

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,161	(	09/27/2001	Robert C. Knauerhase	10559-495001/P11787	4084	
20985	7590	04/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RIG		SON, PC	HARPER, KEVIN C			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2616	2616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>A</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/966,161	KNAUERHASE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin C. Harper	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Fe	<u>ebruary 2006</u> .					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other:					

Art Unit: 2616

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 7, 2006 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed January 7, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Balog in view of Olnowich and Morimoto (US 2002/0022453).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balog et al. (US 2002/0022453) in view of Olnowich (US 5,612,953) and Morimoto (US 2002/0022453).

Regarding claims 1-3, 11-12 and 18-20, Balog discloses a method comprising 1 communicating with a first network (fig. 7, items 54 and/or 56) via a first wireless communication link (note: links between devices 52-56) in a first communication mode, receiving data from the first network intended for a second network (item 22 or 52), switching to a second communication mode and transmitting data to the second network via a second wireless Application/Control Number: 09/966,161

Art Unit: 2616

communication link in a second communication mode (note: links between devices 22 and 52-54). Further regarding claims 3, 12, 20, the communication is bidirectional (para. 41, last three lines). Further regarding claim 11, Balog discloses an apparatus (fig. 7, item 52 or 54) comprising an inherent antenna, a first and second network interfaces to format data (para. 30, line 6 and the last five lines) and an inherent multiplexing device to switch a connection to the antenna between the interfaces and an inherent controller to control the multiplexing device in response to detecting data to be communicated (para. 41, lines 1-10 and the last three lines). Further regarding claims 18-20, the method is inherently implemented by instructions stored on a machine-readable medium (para. 6, lines 14-17; para. 30, lines 4-8; para. 40, lines 1-10).

Page 3

- 2. However, Balog does not disclose storing the data and switching to a second communication mode after the data is stored. Olnowich discloses storing received data (fig. 14, item 150) and then switching to a second mode of operation after the data is received (items 130 and 122/120; col. 29, lines 57-66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to store a packet and then switch to a second communication mode in the invention of Balog in order to properly convert and transmit received data (Olnowich, col. 29, lines 34-37; col. 26, lines 41-47).
- 3. Further, Balog in view of Olnowich does not disclose receiving encrypting data and decrypting the data. Morimoto discloses receiving encrypted data and decrypting the data (fig. 1; col. 7, lines 51-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to receive encrypted data and decrypt the data in the invention of Balog in view of Olnowich in order to facilitate private communication in a wireless network (Morimoto, col. 1, lines 28-32).
- 4. Regarding claims 5, 7, 13, 22 and 24, in Balog the networks are IP-based networks (fig. 1, item 18 and fig. 3; note: IP for user device).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,161 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

5. Regarding claims 4, 6, 8-10, 14-17, 21, 23 and 25-27, in Balog communication is switched from a first network interface to a second network interface (para. 30, line 6; note: network interfaces; para. 30, last five lines), where the first and second interface or network is based on 802.11 (fig. 7). The second interface or network is based on GPRS (item 22).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at 571-272-7629. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

April 12, 2006